

# OFA Policy Advisory Council

## Advice on BRM Review (Discussion Questions)

April 2018

## PAC Table Discussion Questions (Agri-Stability)

1. Would you support trading off Agri-Stability targetedness for timeliness?
2. Would a timelier Agri-Stability, supplemented by more flexible top-up programs (producer-paid), represent a meaningful improvement to the BRM suite?

# PAC Table Discussion Questions (Gaps and additional programming)

**Drop in revenue due to lower commodity prices**

**Increases in input costs or other expenses**

**Rising interest rates**

**Future drop in farmland values**

**Production losses due to weather/climate variability**

**Production losses due to disease/pests/wildlife**

**Trade and market access**

**Other (please specify)**

- 1. Are these risks adequately covered by the existing BRM programs?**
- 2. How could governments better respond to risks identified as having inadequate coverage?**

# PAC Table Discussion Questions (Agri-Invest)

1. How important is the Agri-Invest program?
2. Should it be maintained, enhanced or scrapped? Why?

# PAC Table Discussion Questions (Information gaps)

1. Is it challenging to get helpful (understandable) information about risk management programs and tools?
2. How can government and industry work to address any information gaps?
3. What role should can government and industry play in explaining programs and risk management strategies?

## PAC Questions (Agri-Stability)

Would you support trading off Agri-Stability targetedness for timeliness?

A. Yes

B. No

## PAC Questions (Agri-Stability)

Would a timelier Agri-Stability, supplemented by more flexible top-up programs (producer-paid), represent a meaningful improvement to the BRM suite?

A. Yes

B. No

# PAC Questions (Agri-Invest)

Should the Agri-Invest program be:

- A.** Maintained
- B.** Enhanced
- C.** Scrapped